Student Satisfaction Survey (2022-23)

Table-1: 1. Gender Wise Data

Gender	Count
Male	196
Female	138

Gender wise Count

Figure-1: Gender wise Count

Table-2: Course wise Data

| Course	Count
Master of Computer Applications	29
B.Tech	48
M.Sc. Computer Science	23
MBA	22
MSC	17
Mathematics	17
MASTER OF COMPUTER APPLICATIONS	17
Btech	17
M.com finance	17
MSc organic chemistry	16
M.Sc Chemistry	16
Physics	16
Msc zoology	16
Management	16
Msc(OR&SQC)	16
Msc. BIOTECHNOLOGY	16
OR & sqc	16
Msc biotechnology	16
MSC Organic chemistry	16
MSC Mathamatics	16
M com general	16
MSc botany	16
M. Sc organic chemistry	16
M.com(f)	16
MA ECONOMICS	16

Figure-2: Course wise Summary

Table-3: Faculty Efforts to effectively cover 100% Syllabus

Rating	Count
Excellent	113
Very good	101
Good	93

|	
Average	19
Poor	8

Figure-3: Faculty Efforts to effectively cover 100% Syllabus

Table-4: Faculty illustrate the concept through Examples and Applications

Rating	Count
Good 1	105
Very Good	101
Excellent	98

Average	19
Poor	11

Faculty illustrate the concept through Examples and Applications

| Rating	Count
Good	105
Very Good	101
Excellent	98
Average	19
Poor	11

Figure-4: Faculty illustrate the concept through Examples and Applications

Table-5: Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the faculty

Rating	Count
Good	112
Very Good	106

|	
Excellent	98
Average	12
Poor	6

Figure-5: Fairness of the Internal evaluation process by the faculty

Table-6: Faculty Regularity and Punctuality to the Class

Rating	Count
Excellent	120

|	
Very Good	100
Good	93
Poor	12
Average	9

Figure-6: Faculty Regularity and Punctuality to the Class

Table-7: Faculty generating interest in the students while teaching in the class room

Rating	Count

|	
Very Good	104
Good	101
Excellent	99
Average	21
Poor	9

Figure-7: Faculty generating interest in the students while teaching in the class room

Table-8: Faculty encouraging questions on the topic in the class and clearing doubts

Rating	Count

|	
Very Good	107
Excellent	106
Good	97
Average	16
Poor	8

Figure-8: Faculty encouraging questions on the topic in the class and clearing doubts

Table-9: Faculty encouraging and guiding students in reading library (subject & general) books and using internet

Rating	Count
Good	104

|	
Excellent	104
Very Good	97
Average	17
Poor	12

Figure-9: Faculty encouraging and guiding students in reading library (subject & general) books and using internet

Table-10: Personal care and affection while dealing with individual students and helping students

Rating	Count
Excellent	106
Good	100

| Very Good	99
Average	20
Poor	9

Figure-10: Personal care and affection while dealing with individual students and helping students

Table-11: Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities

Rating	Count

|	
Very Good	101
Good	96
Excellent	88
Average	27
Poor	22

Figure-11: Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities

Table-12: Usage of ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching

Rating	Count
Good	110

|	
Excellent	101
Very Good	100
Average	18
Poor	5

Figure-12: Usage of ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching

Table-13: Library offers a range of facilities that support

Rating	Count

|	
Very Good	107
Good	104
Excellent	81
Average	31
Poor	11

Figure-13: Library offers a range of facilities that support

Table-14: Institute is able to promote fair competitive learning atmosphere

Rating	Count
Good	115

Very Good	95
Excellent	75
Average	33
Poor	16

Figure-14: Institute is able to promote fair competitive learning atmosphere

Table-15: Examination schedules are as per specified time

| Rating	Count
Excellent	102
Very Good	101
Good	98
Average	24
Poor	9

Figure-15: Examination schedules are as per specified time

**Table-16: Placement, Counseling and career guidance Cell**

Rating	Count

Good	106
Very Good	73
Excellent	63
Poor	46
Average	46

Figure-16: Placement, Counseling and career guidance Cell

Table-17: Internet facility in the University

Rating	Count
Good	89
Very Good	73
Excellent	67
Poor	54
Average	51

Figure-17: Internet facility in the University

Table-18: Internet facility in the University

Rating	Count
Good	110
Very Good	92
Excellent	82
Average	39
Poor	11

Figure-18: Internet facility in the University